## **Hearing Action Points**

## Issue Specific Hearing 5 - draft DCO and any outstanding matters

Action	Party	Deadline
<ul> <li>Clarify the Applicant's position regarding the Marine Policy Statement and the East Marine Plan:         <ul> <li>Should the scheme be assessed against the Marine Policy Statement and/or the East Marine Plan? (In considering this the ExA is mindful that the Deemed Marine Licence may be removed from the DCO, but also that the Princes Quay Bridge is to remain a part of the project.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Have any such specific assessments been carried out? (Although the answer to ExQ1.10.5 indicates that the scheme has been assessed against the East Marine Plan, the ExA has been unable to locate any</li> </ul>	Applicant	D5 <sup>1</sup>
specific assessment.)  It may be necessary to engage with the Marine Management Organisation in addressing this matter.		
Provide a copy or relevant extract from the East Riding Local Plan.	Applicant	D5
<ul> <li>Provide copies of:</li> <li>SPDs 2, 10, 11, 12</li> <li>The Conservation Area Appraisals for the Old Town Conservation Area.</li> </ul>	НСС	D5
In considering the question of light controlled crossings at the Market Place and Queen St Slip Roads (see ExQ 2.8.2) to engage with Historic England regarding the setting of the Grade I listed King William III statue.	Applicant	-
<ul> <li>Provide clarification regarding the Applicant's views on the Sequential Test relating to flood risk. In particular: <ul> <li>Should the sequential test be applied?</li> <li>If so, has an assessment been carried out and where is it to be found?</li> </ul> </li> <li>The ExA remains uncertain of the Applicant's position having considered: <ul> <li>The view expressed at ISH2 that the sequential test is not considered relevant. [REP3-008, para 7.1.4];</li> <li>5.92-5.93 of the NN NPS Accordance Table [APP-071], which says that at sequential test was carried out</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Applicant	D5
	Clarify the Applicant's position regarding the Marine Policy Statement and the East Marine Plan:  Should the scheme be assessed against the Marine Policy Statement and/or the East Marine Plan? (In considering this the ExA is mindful that the Deemed Marine Licence may be removed from the DCO, but also that the Princes Quay Bridge is to remain a part of the project.)  Have any such specific assessments been carried out? (Although the answer to ExQ1.10.5 indicates that the scheme has been assessed against the East Marine Plan, the ExA has been unable to locate any specific assessment.)  It may be necessary to engage with the Marine Management Organisation in addressing this matter.  Provide a copy or relevant extract from the East Riding Local Plan.  Provide copies of: SPDs 2, 10, 11, 12 The Conservation Area Appraisals for the Old Town Conservation Area.  In considering the question of light controlled crossings at the Market Place and Queen St Slip Roads (see ExQ 2.8.2) to engage with Historic England regarding the setting of the Grade I listed King William III statue.  Provide clarification regarding the Applicant's views on the Sequential Test relating to flood risk. In particular: Should the sequential test be applied? If so, has an assessment been carried out and where is it to be found?  The ExA remains uncertain of the Applicant's position having considered: The view expressed at ISH2 that the sequential test is not considered relevant. [REP3-008, para 7.1.4];  Spot Spot Spot Spot Spot Spot Spot Spot	Clarify the Applicant's position regarding the Marine Policy Statement and the East Marine Plan:  Should the scheme be assessed against the Marine Policy Statement and/or the East Marine Plan? (In considering this the ExA is mindful that the Deemed Marine Licence may be removed from the DCO, but also that the Princes Quay Bridge is to remain a part of the project.)  Have any such specific assessments been carried out? (Although the answer to ExQ1.10.5 indicates that the scheme has been assessed against the East Marine Plan, the ExA has been unable to locate any specific assessment.)  It may be necessary to engage with the Marine Management Organisation in addressing this matter.  Provide a copy or relevant extract from the East Riding Local Plan.  Provide copies of: SPDs 2, 10, 11, 12 The Conservation Area Appraisals for the Old Town Conservation Area.  In considering the question of light controlled crossings at the Market Place and Queen St Slip Roads (see ExQ 2.8.2) to engage with Historic England regarding the setting of the Grade I listed King William III statue.  Provide clarification regarding the Applicant's views on the Sequential Test relating to flood risk. In particular: Should the sequential test be applied? If so, has an assessment been carried out and where is it to be found?  The ExA remains uncertain of the Applicant's position having considered: The view expressed at ISH2 that the sequential test is not considered relevant. [REP3-008, para 7.1.4]; S.92-5.93 of the NN NPS Accordance Table [APP-071],

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> D5 = 5 August

	<ul> <li>The FRA, which does not include a sequential</li> </ul>		
	assessment.		
6	Consider whether the references to actions being carried out	Applicant	D6 <sup>2</sup>
	by 'the Secretary of State' in Article 11 should change.		
7	Finalise statements of common ground.	Applicant	D5

**Please note** that these Action Points relate to the items discussed at the Hearing and are additional to any other requirements for information, notably the further written questions issued on 11 July and any post-hearing written submissions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Although a deadline for this action was not discussed at the hearing, D6 (27 August) is the established deadline for the submission of the Applicant's final preferred DCO.